

# Tool to Assess Risk of Bias in Longitudinal Symptom Research Studies Aimed at the General Population

Contributed by the CLARITY Group at McMaster University

## 1. Is the source population (sampling frame) representative of the general population?

Definitely yes  
(low risk of bias)

Probably yes

Probably no

Definitely no  
(high risk of bias)

### Examples of low risk of bias:

- Selection of target population from a representative population roster such as national population registry

### Examples of intermediate risk of bias:

- Single community-based study

### Examples of high risk of bias:

- Hospital-based patient records; studies where the source population cannot be defined (or enumerated), i.e. any volunteer studies using self-recruitment

## 2. Is the assessment of the outcome accurate both at baseline and at follow-up?

Definitely yes  
(low risk of bias)

Probably yes

Probably no

Definitely no  
(high risk of bias)

### Examples of low risk of bias:

- Repeated interview or other ascertainment asking about state with validated instrument or method (with demonstrated validity).

### Examples of intermediate risk of bias:

- Instrument or method with limited validity assessment and concern of accuracy of responses
- Simple assessment of the presence (or absence) of the symptom(s) without making an effort to quantify the severity/extent
- Use of different instruments at different time points with concern of accuracy of responses

### Examples of high risk of bias:

- Unvalidated instrument or method with concern of accuracy of responses
- Uncertain how information was obtained
- Studies with standardized clinical interviews (including physicians' unstructured assessment of symptoms)
- Studies, which assessed primary outcome as "physician-diagnosed condition"

### 3. Is there little missing data?

Definitely yes  
(low risk of bias)

Probably yes

Probably no

Definitely no  
(high risk of bias)

#### Examples of low risk of bias:

- High response proportion (rate) both at baseline and follow-up with little missing data
- For instance, response proportions were more than 75% both at baseline and follow-up(s) and missing data within questionnaires less than 10%

#### Examples of intermediate risk of bias:

- Moderate response proportions both at baseline and follow-up with moderate level of missing data
- For instance, response proportions were 50% to 75% (at baseline and follow-up(s)) and missing data with questionnaires less than 15%

#### Examples of high risk of bias:

- Low response proportion both at baseline and follow-up with high level of missing data
- For instance, response proportions were <50% and missing data with questionnaires more than 15%

Example proportions may not apply to all situations. At times, lower proportions may be acceptable. At times, higher may be legitimately demanded